

App F IX 4

Previous References
ml 2-44 (36 37)

1945 41
ml

File No 2-47

Serial Nos 24, 25

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

T 25

Part B for

July 47

SUBJECT

Appointment of an Inspector for the
Municipalities of T Narasimha Murthy
Bannur

2042 - 8 20.8-47

id N Mysore St


States that the ~~proposed~~

proposal may be over

1d

Letter no D3 3767/43 & 31/46
from the D type at in the
appointment of an inspector for the
municipalities of T Nandipur, Muga
and Saman

1) sub-td with draft letter
calling for the copies of the previous letter
ma 24/4/46 2) group
enquiries



To

The Dy. Insp.
211 886 & 291
calling for certain info

sent
ma
7/5

From the D type at

No D3 3767/43 & 4/57

as the information called for

3) the proposal relates
to the appointment of an inspector
to look after the administration
of the villages in T Nandipur Taluk

namely T Narsim Talha &
Rangam and Banamallies
the Dyne District

4) The D.C. recommends as the
proposal in the interest of the
administration of the above talukas

An officer of the status of the
chief officer is proposed to be
appointed on a pay of Rs 100 + Rs 20
the cost in this behalf being
recovered from the concerned
talukas

5) The above proposal was
first turned by the prob. mem.
of the order passed at paras 9¹⁰ of
the notes of the collection pt. (p. 10)
(p. 10)

6) As there is provision under
section 43(1)(a) of the Orissa
Act for the appointment of an
inspector for talukas, the proper

of the D Department it may be
be some times in view of the
fact that it is a hard
task for the Amildar who is
an ex-officio president
of all the four main bodies
to manage the affairs more
of his multifarious duties
7) sub to for orders with references
ma 14/5/46 & it is a unique thing

that there are four main bodies
in the 2 newspaper bodies
They are 2 newspaper Banners

sub to the D Department in the case of 2
newspaper there is an elected
President now But till recently
the Amildar of the D Department was the
ex-officio President as in the
case of the other ^{three} bodies in view
of the multifarious duties devolving
on the Amildar it is almost
impossible for him to attend to

the satisfactory administration of various
affairs each of these bodies
of which the D Department is recommended

Serial
No

that the provision of sec 43(1) of the Income Tax Act might be taken advantage of and an inspection on a day of Rs 10 + 20 appointed to come after the 1st of April of the 1940s there was no provision appointing a day for a return etc.

The D.C. was however informed that the best thing would be to have an elected return President for each self in view of the fact that the Inspectors proposed to be appointed cannot replace the Assistant Presidents who have to continue as such and are fully employed.

11 The D.C. has again noted for recommendation of his proposals.

It is seen from the records that the 1st of April 1940 has been agreed to the appointment of a meeting for the pay of the first while the Government not liable to it.

According to sec 43 of the Act the Inspectors can inspect a superintendent who will come in as self but cannot administer him for day today when if there could be some relief to the Assistant President the scope of work of the Inspectors is restricted.

In view however of the D.C.'s recommendation advantage may be taken of the provision of sec 43(1) of the Act and an inspection appointed.


1171
2/5

7916
here as an experimental measure
for a period of one year from 1st
July 1946 to supersede the working
of these pillars. It may be found a
salary of Rs 10 + 20 the same being
distributed equally among the four pillars.
The best quality of the inspectors may be at
2-3 months. An official ~~who~~ ^{who} ~~will~~ ^{will} ~~be~~ ^{be} ~~appointed~~ ^{appointed} for the post-
Chief. You may be appointed for the post-
A report may be called for at the end of
one year as to how the arrangement has
worked. Then the justice of its continuance
determined hereafter. For order
Resd 22/7/46
17/5/46

13) Are the duties of the Inspectors
now proposed affirmatively
defined anywhere? Is he an
executive Subordinate of the
Municipality or the Amildar
Does he owe allegiance to the
Municipal Council or is independent
of them? What is his place in
the routine administration of the
Municipality? What is the
nature and extent of his
work that he is expected to turn out
25/5/46
Amr

56/L 59252/L

14) sub'd with a draft letter
with reference to Ld from 13 Apr
ma 23/5 15) 9 June 28/5/46



T,

The D Mysore Dist-

L 13376 8/9/5/46
calls for certain information

from The D Mysore Dist-

NO D3 C 3767/13 R 17/6/46

Furnishes information

16/ The D C answers all the points
raised at from 13 Apra It shows
that the position and the duties of the
officer to be appointed for the
administration of the minor taluqs
in T Narasimha Taluk would be
that of a chief officer, as provided in
sections 209 to 211 of the T N Act, 1923
fig of the work put up

17) sub'd with reference to
from 13 Apra

The point raised in para 13
have been answered by the
D C Mysore in his
letter dated 17 6 46

6. The D C Mysore has to
place the inspectors on the
same level as the Chief Insps
in his title. This presumption
cannot be accepted
of the latter as a statutory officer
have been clearly defined within
of the Act of the Insps
of 1933 but not so in the case of
the Insps of the Insps

7. The only relevant section relating to
the appointment & duties of inspectors
is sec 43 (1) & (2) of the
Insps Act 1933. The scope
of work of these officers as laid down
therein is much restricted.

1) So far as the appointment of
inspectors is concerned, no
experiment has been made in the State
for the first time. Therefore to be
made by appointing such an officer

to be in charge of the firm

He is T-Narasimham Bannu Dethar

2. Engineer which are all situated
in one of the same place & other kind
admission of well to the arrangement now
proposed. As suggested by the D.C. Mysore

933/267

With the proposal may be sanctioned for
a period of one year ^{it may be seen} and ~~the~~ but at

-works. The person to be appointed may be

of the grade of chief officer on Rs. 100-5-12
and his Headquarters request T-Narasimham

He will work under the orders of the Assistant
- Narasimham Dethar -

24) For orders - approved 13/8/46
per order 2077/46

23) How are his Salary & P.A.,
Pensionary Contribution to be
met & in what proportion

L. B. M.
26/7/46
B. M.

It submitted with a draft letter
calling for further information

MA 29/7/46

to S. M. S. 29/7/46
me D. Mysore est.
2203 & 7/8/46
calls for certain information

SECTION
30. L. Y. F.
OFFICE
MA 8/7

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No

of 194

[App F III-2

Appointment of an Inspector in
the office of T N-aror for
9282

Serial
No

From the D C Mysore
No DS C 3767/43 & 7-11-46
as a report


The resolution of the Mysore
Mun. Bd. will be awarded if
approved submitted - (vide 4. for
the report)

made 22/11/46 by yes till the end of
December 1946
Subd

22
18/1
Subd. Sd. Bd. a draft reminder
Issue
22/11/47

Joined no L 10430 & 23/1/47

Letter no DS C 3767/43-44 & 28-1-47 from the
Dy Commr, Mysore forwarding a copy of the
resolution of the Mysore Mun. Bd. agreeing
to meet the cost of the salt from the funds of the Bd.
The Dy Commr has stated that the cost per
month ^{would} be more than Rs 200 per m, that
it is doubtful if the metres of Taluk Bannur
& Mysore ^{could} bear this add expenditure & that
would submit a further report on the
question after examining the ml offices.



3620 J 6171
L

File No

of 194

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No

offices

subd for perusal

If appd, the receipt of further report from
the Dy Commr. Mysore It will be awarded

U6
14/2

3 This question has been pending
for over one year. The Dy
Commr. has not yet furnished full
information so as to be able to put to
arrive at decision

The present Dy Commr. was a further
report after an inspection to the Dy
Commr. of Gulker. The Dy Commr. is
whether they would be in a position to

contribute towards the expense of the
proposed arrangement. The receipt
of this report will be awarded

received 17/2/47

34) Jms
(8/2/47)

sent
21/2

For further report from the Dy
Commr. Mysore It has not been
received subd for orders

U6
47

36) At 200
Pl put up a report
calling for the considered opinion
of the present Dy Commr. Mysore
L 5/2/47


6171 $\sqrt{455}$
 271

Appointment of an Inspector for the Administration
 of the minor mls in the T Narasimha District

37) subd with a draft letter for apppl

116-220
 97

Issue



ms A
 14/7

Issued no 2832 D 12/7/47

From the D C Mysore District
 No D3-C 5767/43-44 dated 16 T-47

Submits his considered opinion on the above subject

38) The D C Mysore District reports that he has inspected these mls and examined the state of administration and their financial position. The result of his inspection is he states that the financial position of Baruru minor mls is not satisfactory. The introduction of tolls and enhancement of taxes which have been suggested may improve the position in future. The position of these other mls is Hogen, Talikad and T Narasimha is sound.

39) The D C states that considering the size and population of each and the distance to travel from one place to another and the varied income of these mls he doubts whether it would be feasible from the administrative point of view to appoint an Inspector as proposed.

40) He also states that since the proposal has been recommended by his predecessor already the proposal may be given a trial for one year. The deficit of establishment cost on this account would be about Rs 210/- per m as detailed hereunder:-

Pay of Inspector per month	Rs 100/-
T A	60/-
D A	18/-
Pay of Peon	10/-
D A	12/-
Miscellaneous	10/-

RP 10
 4/8 518 Subd for orders Total Rs 210/-

41) Kind refce is invited to the
notes in paras 8 to 13 &
19 to 21 supra

42) The proposal to appoint an expert
for the administration of the Municipalities
in the 2-transcription includes for
costs


Revised for
5/8/74

43) As seen from the report
dated 16.7.47 the D.C. is not
very hopeful about the results
which might ensue by appointing
an Inspector to supervise
the Municipalities under
reference. But still he opines
that the proposal may be
given trial.

44) The cost involved in
this proposal is Rs 210 ^{per} as
per details given above.
and it is proposed to
be met by the concerned
municipalities in
proportion to their income
to be received. The
D.C. (Vice D.C.'s letter
of 28.4.74) But the D.C.

in the same letter feels doubtful
 of the municipalities ^{of}
 Tal Kad Baniwar ^{manager}
 can bear this additional
 expense or tax

45) But still a remedy
 has to be found and there
 appears to be no other
 remedy except the appointment
 of an Inspector as proposed,
 as an experiment for
 one year in the first
 instance the results
 watched most probably
 the appointment might
 lead to improvement of
 the finances also &
 might be no difficulty
 felt in meeting the expenditure
 on this account



46) The Inspector to be
 so appointed will have power
 to ~~superintend & inspect~~ ^{work of the} inspect
 & superintend the Municipal
 Councils as contemplated
 in S 43 cl (1) & (2) of the
 Minor Municipalities Act 1933
 for one year to begin with

Minister
 P. & C. S.) 47) I am doubtful
 8/8/47
 12/11/47

455

12/8

12/8
8
13/8
48) Apr 1878
1788

49) Subd with a draft, conveying the above orders to the D C Mysore for approval

14/8

1 June 1987

To the D C Import Dept

no L 2843 / ml

no L 2843/m/ 5/20-8 47
orders that the proposals may be over

TYPED FOR THE
18 AUG 1947
ON - Y - CTI

$$\frac{\beta}{20} \sqrt{8}$$

No DJ C 3767/43-14

6-10-44

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Mysore Dated the 3rd January, 1946

From

Mr. Jodha Singh, Esq., B
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

To

Mr. J. B. Mallikarjuna, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, BANGALORE

Sir,

Subject - Appointment of an Inspector for administering the Municipalities at T Narasipur, Hugar Taluk in Mysore

Referring to your letter No L 11235/11 2-44-37 dated the 22nd July 1945 on the above subject directing that the proposal for the appointment of an Inspector to administer the above mentioned Municipalities does not come under itself to Government etc., I have the honour to state that there is already a non-official President at T Narasipur and due to the prevalence of misrule standards and inefficient Municipal Councils at Hugar, Taluk and Hugar, granting the privilege of having a non-official President to these Municipal Councils may be more is still worse. Hence this question has to be considered for some time more.

All these Municipalities are very close to each other and being minor municipalities, their administration does not warrant a whole-time servant stationed in each of these places. Even the periodical oversight of a supervising authority with a well thought programme is not an inconvenient task and such an arrangement does not in any way hamper the day-to-day administration of the Municipal affairs. The Amildar of the taluk who has been appointed as an ex-officio President of all these Municipal Councils can render effectively supervise as he is able to devote persistent attention for providing civic amenities etc., specially when he has been saddled with many varied and multifarious duties. The appointment of an officer exclusively for the administration of these Municipalities with headquarters at T Narasipur will not only provide scope for better administration of these municipalities but also facilitate the execution of many town improvement and other allied works of public utility and welfare.

In view of the foregoing facts, I request you kindly to obtain sanction of Government for the appointment of a Chief Officer or a person of Rs. 100/- with a conveyance allowance of Rs. 20/- per mensem, as a special case. The cost of the establishment will be met by all these municipalities in equal proportions and this proposal gives the way for efficient administration involving reasonable cost.

After letter is finished calling

csn

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Suryanarayana
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

3/1/46
Chk of Head Quarters
on duty

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 194

Date of issue

29 4 46

Signed by

for GRR

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section

File No

Serial No

No L 11886

To


The Director
Sir,

I am sure with
reference to your letter
no D3 C 3767/43 of 3/1/46
re the appointment of an
inspector for administering
the milties at T. Varkur,
Kangra etc. I am directed
to request you to arrange
to send within three
days a copy of this
office letter no L 11295 of
22-5-45 referred to
therein together with a copy

of your office letter no 03 c 3267/43
of 6-10-44 on the subject

I have etc
for
Hewson

*Mr. Kumar (res)
7/5/46*



No D3 C 3767 of 43
See copy

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Mysore District,
Mysore dated the 4th May 1946

From

C M H RANAJODHA SINGH, Esq , B A ,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District,
Mysore

To

J B MALLARADHYA, Esq , M A ,
Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
Bangalore

S i r,

7/5/46
Appointment of a Inspector for administering
the Minor Municipalities of T Narasipur,
Mugur, Talkad & Bannur

As desired in your letter No L 11836 dated 30th April
1946, I have the honour to forward herewith copies of your
letter No L 11295 dated 22nd May 1945 and this office letter No
D3 C 3767 of 43 dated 6th October 1944 on the above subject

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

[Signature]
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Mysore District
TS

TS/

Letter No L 11235-12-41-3/ dated 24/10/45 from the Secretary to Government, Law Department, Bangalore addressed to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District Mysore

Appointment of an Inspector for the four Municipalities in Talasipur Taluk

--

With reference to your letter No D3 C 3767 of 13-41 dated 6th October 1941 on the above subject, I am directed to state that your proposal does not commend itself to Government as the Inspector proposed to be appointed can only superintend and inspect the work in the Municipalities and cannot do the duties of the President. The only time he can be called to the Municipalities is in the right direction would therefore be to select an official who is not in the service of the Municipal Council or the Government or any other authority.

I am accordingly to request you to investigate the question further in the light of this observation and submit suitable proposals, if necessary for the orders of Government.

Letter No D3 C 3767 of 13-41 dated 24/10/45 from the Secretary to Government, Law Department, Bangalore addressed to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, Mysore

Appointment of an Inspector for Municipalities in Talasipur Taluk

I have the honour to state that there are four Municipalities in the Talasipur Taluk. The Mayor of the Talasipur Taluk was hitherto the ex-officio President of all these four Municipal Councils. During my recent inspection of these Municipalities, it was considered necessary to entertain a Committee for all the four Municipalities in the Talasipur Taluk as the Mayor President cannot exercise sufficient control and supervision over the work of the Municipalities. The Municipal Council of Talasipur, Bannur and Talasipur have agreed to the proposal while the Talasipur Municipal Council have not agreed that the privilege of election nor official President has been conceded to Talasipur Municipality. If all the four Municipalities have a Chief Executive Officer for assisting the non-official President, and for the Council to satisfactorily carry on the administration of the Municipality. The resolution of the Council of Talasipur, Bannur and Talasipur is as follows:

Talasipur	Rs 1340/-
Talkad	Rs 5367/-
Bannur	Rs 3117/-
Bannur	Rs 4008/-

The arrears are very heavy in the Bannur and Talkad Municipalities and proper supervision over the collection of rates is quite necessary. The Municipal Council of Bannur, Talasipur and Talkad have agreed to bear the entire cost of this additional staff proportionately and copies of resolutions of the Municipal Council are again enclosed for kind perusal.

The closing balance of these four Municipalities in 30-6-41 were as noted below:

Talasipur	Rs 4802/-
Bannur	6444/-
Bannur	4537/-
Talkad	5400/-

and each of them can well afford to meet the proposed additional recurring expenditure. The appointment of a Chief Officer to the four Municipalities is not proposed in the proposal.

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form)

To, L 13376 & 29 5-46
The D C Mysore
Sir.

With reference
to your letter no D 3
C 3767/43 & 3/1/46
re the appointment
of an inspector
for the administration
of the municipalities
in the T Narasimha Taluk
I am directed to
request you to furnish
information on the
following points:-

1) ^{What} are the duties
of the inspector proposed
to be appointed and
are they defined any
where?

2) Is he an executive
or administrative subordinate?

- of the help & ^{of} the Board.
- 3) Does he owe allegiance to the
col. or ^{is he} independent of them
- 4) Has he place in the
administration of the college?
- 5) What is the nature &
extent of work that he is
expected to turn out?

Shore etc
for 23/10/16
Shore etc

No D3 C 3767/43

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District
Mysore dated the 17th June 1946

From

C M H Ranajodha Singh, Esq, B A,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

To

J B Mallaradhya, Esq, M A,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

Sir,

Subject - Appointment of an Inspector for the
administration of Municipalities in
T Narasipur taluk - further particulars
called re the position, status, duties
etc, of the Inspector proposed

Adverting to your letter No L 13376 dated 29th 1946,

on the above subject, I have the honour to state, before answering the several points raised therein, that it had been recommended by me that an Inspector of the status of a Chief Officer may be appointed (vide last para of letter No D3 C B 3767 43-44 dated 25-9-44 addressed to the Legal Remembrancer and Secretary to Govt Law Department) Keeping this in view, the questions raised are answered below

Questions

- 1 a what are the duties of the Inspector proposed to be appointed and (b) are they defined anywhere,
- 2 is he an executive subordinate of the Municipality or of the Amildar?
- 3 Does he owe, allegiance to the Municipal Councils or is he independent of them?
- 4 Has he a place in the Administration of the Municipalities

Replies

- a The duties are those of the Chief Officer exercised under the Town Municipal Act Secn 210 -213 in so far as there are corresponding provision in the Minor Municipal Act which can be made applicable to the Minor Municipalities
- b Do not seem to have been defined anywhere
- He will hold the same position and status as those of a Chief Officer under Town Municipalities Act
- He bears the same relationship to the Council as the Chief Officer bears under the T M A
- Yes the same place as the Chief Officer has



5 What is the nature and
extent of work that he
is expected to turn out?

He is expected to turn out the
work as defined in Sections 210
and 213 of the Town Municipal
Administration in so far as they
can be made applicable

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.



Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Ras

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

2203 27846

The cypress

with reference to
the correspondence in my
with ^{your} letter no 03-C3767
of 4 3 of 17/6/46 re
the appointment of an
-inspector for the
administration of minor
the
T N-a-a-a before Taluk,
I am directed to
request you to
furnish information
on the following points

1) ~~the~~ whether
any staff would
be ^{entertained} ~~appointed~~ to
assist the inspection
proposed to be appointed.

If the reply is in the affirmative ~~the~~ details of such ^{of such} ~~the~~ ^{glott} ~~the~~ ^{disposition}

~~the~~ pay may ~~must~~ be furnished

2) What is the total amount ^{scope} involved on this account?

3) Whether the expenditure would be debited equally to the funds of the ~~allies~~

concerned and if not, in

what proportion ^{would} the ~~cost~~ ^{relating} to the salary of a ~~personnel~~ ^{contributing} ~~to the~~ ^{of the} ~~among these allies~~ ^{of the}


and 4) Whether it is not necessary to obtain a resolution of the T Narayan ~~may~~ ^{will} agree to meet their portion of the cost, before the proposal is sanctioned

while submitting a report on ~~the~~ ^{the} above particulars based resolution of the T Narayan ~~may~~ ^{will} agree to meet their portion of the cost may also be ~~may~~ ^{may} obtained & sent

Shawee C
Brewer

o K C 767 of 43-44

Of ICE ONLY E DEPUTY
Mysore District
Mysore dated the 7th Nov 1946



From

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District,
Mysore

To

J B LALLARADHYA, Esq., A,
Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
Mysore

*ml
9/11/46
197/11/46*

Appointment of or supervisor inspection of the administration of minor municipalities in the district, including particulars to be submitted to the Inspector, to be entertained, expenditure involved etc

Referring to your letter of 22nd August 1946 calling for information regarding the staff to be entertained etc, in connection with the above, I have the honour to state that of the four municipalities concerned, namely, Parasipura have reported on the subject and reply from Parasipura is yet due. Since the Parasipura suggests a peon or 10/- being given to the inspector, no other municipality has suggested any extra staff to the inspector. All the Parasipura have agreed to pay their portion of cost on account of Parasipura, the Parasipura contribution etc, but in different proportion. Parasipura and Parasipura have resolved to pay the extra cost by contribution, Parasipura along the four municipalities without specifying the proportion of cost, and Parasipura is resolved to pay Parasipura cost and has suggested that the inspector must be made to work one week in every month in each municipality.

It is proposed to have an inspector of the status of a Chief Officer on 100 + 20 p.m., and a peon on 10/- to assist him. It does not seem fair to saddle the municipality with extra cost in the initial stage until they themselves feel the need and ask for it. The total cost of the establishment to start with would come to Rs 200/- per month or Rs 2400/- per year detailed

P T O

I,	1	Pay of Inspector - Per month	Rs 100/-
	2	C.I.,	20/-
	3	Dress allowance.	18/-
	4	Peon	10/-
	5	Dress allowance	12/-
II	1	Congencies,	40/-
		Penionry, Contribution c	
	2x	Co	
Total			----- 200/- =====

The question arises in what proportion the cost should be apportioned - is it in equal proportions or in different proportions based on income of each of the municipalities? The income of the municipalities concerned are not all uniform. The average receipts and expenditure of the ~~xxxx~~ 4 municipalities based on the receipt and expenditure of the past 3 years 43-44, and 45-46 are shown below

	<u>receipts</u>	<u>expenditure</u>
1 Malkad	5,6595/-	6184/-
2 Bannur	14,051/-	13,675/-
3 1 Arasipur	13,630/-	16,675/-
4 Aur	7818/-	8101/-

It is safe therefore to apportion cost on the basis of income. The municipalities will in proportion of their income

fund will have to be contributed under the head Special Inspectors and his staff and the cost paid by each municipality in advance every year in accordance with the above ~~xxxxxxx~~ principals is to be credited to it to be operated by the President or Municipal Council, and the four inspectors may have 1 Arasipur as his headquarters

A revised resolution dated 30-8-1946 of the Arasipur Municipal Council agreeing to meet the quota is enclosed as herewith. The hard and fast rule can be laid down regarding the Inspector for work in the municipality which depends on the local factors connected therewith also pay

SUBJECT

Copy No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 194

Date of issue

07/1/47

Signed by

M. J. R. G.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section

File No

Serial No

No L 10430

To

Sh. D. C. M. P. D. M.

Sir.

Subject Appointment of an Inspector
for the Administration of Minor m/b
the T Narasimha Salve

with reference to the correspondence
ending with your letter no D3
3767 of 43-44 d/t 11/46 on the above

Subject I am directed to expedite request
you to expedite the submission of the
annual report of the Minor m/b on the
above subject in the matter

G. H. M. etc

For

For Rev. Secy


No D3 C 3767/43-44

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Mysore District,
Mysore dated the 23rd January 1947

from
31/1/47
To

G M NAGARAJA Rao, Esq, M Sc,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District,
Mysore

6171 7



J B LALLARADHYA, Esq, M A,
Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
Bangalore

Appointment of an inspector for the administration of the minor municipalities of Narasipur Taluk, Viz, Talakad, Bannur, Narasipur and Mugur and particulars to be entertained therefor together with cost etc

With reference to your letter No L 10430 dated 23rd January 1947 asking me to expedite opinion of the Mugur Municipal Council on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith, a report No 8 of 46-47 dated 17-12-1946 received from the President, Minor Municipal Council Mugur stating that an inspector may be appointed and that the cost of the establishment may be met out of the funds of the municipalities concerned in proportion to their income as the Deputy Commissioner may decide. The resolution received in this behalf is enclosed. My predecessor has already expressed his opinion that in view of the varying income of the municipalities, it would be safe to apportion cost on the basis of income (vide para 3 of letter No D3 C 3767 of 43-44 dated 22-10-1946/-11-1946). The Council have passed the resolution with reference to this letter a copy of which had been sent to them. As the inspector will have to tour for at least 20 days in the month, provision will have to be made for giving him usual travelling allowance instead of merely conveyance allowance. Total cost per month will then come to more than Rs 200/- as follows* I am doubtful if the municipalities of Talakad, Bannur and Mugur can bear this additional expenditure so I will examine the question after

question after inspecting the Municipal Offices and submit proposals


Pay of the Inspector per month	100/-
Travelling Allowance	60/-
Dearness Allowance	18/-
Pay of Peon	10/-
Dearness Allowance (Peon)	12/-
Miscellaneous	10/-
	-----0-----
T o t a l	210/-

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Atalappa
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Mysore District

rm

28/1/57



ಈ ಸರವೀಕ್ಷಣದ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಕೊಡುವ ನೋಟೀಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ
 ಲೇಖನ 190 ದ ಲಭ್ಯವಿರುವ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಷನಲ್ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ
 ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಅನ್ವಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ಮಾಡುವ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ
 ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ 7-8 46 ನೇ ಮಹಡಿ 230 3
 ನೇ ಸಂ ಲೇಖನ ಮೇಲೆ ಮೈ. ಡಿ. ಜಿ. ಕೆ. ಸಿ. ಸಿ. ರ
 ವರಾಪ್ರಸಾದ್‌ನಿಂದ ಮಾಡಿದ 13 8 46 ನೇ ಮಹಡಿ
 D3 C 366706 43 ನೇ ಸಂ ಮುಖಾಂತರದ
 ನೋಟೀಸ್

ಅನುಬಂಧ ಮುಖಾಂತರವಾಗಿ ಲೇಖನ 190 ದ ಲಭ್ಯವಿರುವ ನೋಟೀಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ


ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ
 ಸಿ.ಎಂ.
 ಲೇಖನ
 ಮುಖಾಂತರ
 ದಿನ
 7/9/46
 ಸಿ.ಎಂ. ಸಿ.ಎಂ.
 ಸಿ.ಎಂ. ಸಿ.ಎಂ.
 ಸಿ.ಎಂ. ಸಿ.ಎಂ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಮುಖಾಂತರವಾಗಿ 23 8 46 ರ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಲೇಖನ 190 ದ ಲಭ್ಯವಿರುವ
 ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಷನಲ್ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಅನ್ವಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ಮಾಡುವ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ
 ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ 7-8 46 ನೇ ಮಹಡಿ 230 3
 ನೇ ಸಂ ಲೇಖನ ಮೇಲೆ ಮೈ. ಡಿ. ಜಿ. ಕೆ. ಸಿ. ಸಿ. ರ
 ವರಾಪ್ರಸಾದ್‌ನಿಂದ ಮಾಡಿದ 13 8 46 ನೇ ಮಹಡಿ
 D3 C 366706 43 ನೇ ಸಂ ಮುಖಾಂತರದ
 ನೋಟೀಸ್

ಮುಖಾಂತರವಾಗಿ 23 8 46 ರ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಲೇಖನ 190 ದ ಲಭ್ಯವಿರುವ
 ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಷನಲ್ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಅನ್ವಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ಮಾಡುವ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ
 ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ 7-8 46 ನೇ ಮಹಡಿ 230 3
 ನೇ ಸಂ ಲೇಖನ ಮೇಲೆ ಮೈ. ಡಿ. ಜಿ. ಕೆ. ಸಿ. ಸಿ. ರ
 ವರಾಪ್ರಸಾದ್‌ನಿಂದ ಮಾಡಿದ 13 8 46 ನೇ ಮಹಡಿ
 D3 C 366706 43 ನೇ ಸಂ ಮುಖಾಂತರದ
 ನೋಟೀಸ್

- 2) ನೇಮಕಿಯಾದ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಷನಲ್ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಅನ್ವಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ಮಾಡುವ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ
 ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ 7-8 46 ನೇ ಮಹಡಿ 230 3
 ನೇ ಸಂ ಲೇಖನ ಮೇಲೆ ಮೈ. ಡಿ. ಜಿ. ಕೆ. ಸಿ. ಸಿ. ರ
 ವರಾಪ್ರಸಾದ್‌ನಿಂದ ಮಾಡಿದ 13 8 46 ನೇ ಮಹಡಿ
 D3 C 366706 43 ನೇ ಸಂ ಮುಖಾಂತರದ
 ನೋಟೀಸ್
- 3) ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಷನಲ್ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಅನ್ವಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ಮಾಡುವ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ
 ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ 7-8 46 ನೇ ಮಹಡಿ 230 3
 ನೇ ಸಂ ಲೇಖನ ಮೇಲೆ ಮೈ. ಡಿ. ಜಿ. ಕೆ. ಸಿ. ಸಿ. ರ
 ವರಾಪ್ರಸಾದ್‌ನಿಂದ ಮಾಡಿದ 13 8 46 ನೇ ಮಹಡಿ
 D3 C 366706 43 ನೇ ಸಂ ಮುಖಾಂತರದ
 ನೋಟೀಸ್

ಈ ಮುಖಾಂತರವಾಗಿ 23 8 46 ರ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಲೇಖನ 190 ದ ಲಭ್ಯವಿರುವ
 ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಷನಲ್ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಅನ್ವಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ಮಾಡುವ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ
 ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ 7-8 46 ನೇ ಮಹಡಿ 230 3
 ನೇ ಸಂ ಲೇಖನ ಮೇಲೆ ಮೈ. ಡಿ. ಜಿ. ಕೆ. ಸಿ. ಸಿ. ರ
 ವರಾಪ್ರಸಾದ್‌ನಿಂದ ಮಾಡಿದ 13 8 46 ನೇ ಮಹಡಿ
 D3 C 366706 43 ನೇ ಸಂ ಮುಖಾಂತರದ
 ನೋಟೀಸ್



J Ramiah
 79 46
 J. Ramiah,
 President,
 Bannur Municipal Council
 Bannur

1946 ನೇ ತ್ರಾನ್ಸ್ಮಿಷನ್ ಬಾಡಿಯ ಪ್ರತಿಬಿಂಬ (28 8 46)

ಪ್ರತಿಬಿಂಬಿತವರು Mr N D Venkatesa Sathiah, P. A. 46 ನಂ ಬರು

ಹಾಳರಿ ಮೆಂಬರುಗಳು
8

ಸ್ವೀಕಾರಿ ಮೆಂಬರುಗಳು

ನರಸೀಪುರದ ಕಾಲೋನಿಯಲ್ ಮಂಡಳಿ
ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ ಎಸ್. ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್
ವಿಳಾಸ: ದಿ. 1-8-46 ನೇ ಮುಖ್ಯ 2203 ರೇ
ನಂ. ಲೆಟರ್ ಮೆಂಬರಿಂಗ್ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರ
ದಿಂದ 13 0 3667 ಸ್ವೀಕೃತಿ 47 ನೇ 10 Memo
3 ಇಂಚ

ಈ ನರಸೀಪುರದ ಕಾಲೋನಿಯಲ್ ಮಂಡಳಿ
Municipal Council ನ ಎಸ್. ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್
ನಿರ್ದೇಶನದಂತೆ ಕೆಲವು ಪ್ರತಿಬಿಂಬಿತ
ಮಂಡಳಿ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಎಸ್. ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್
ಮಂಡಳಿ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಎಸ್. ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್
ಮಂಡಳಿ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಎಸ್. ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್
Municipal Council ನ ಎಸ್. ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್
Contribution ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ
ಮಂಡಳಿ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ

Extract

Venkatesa Sathiah
President
28 8 46

9-61 J. Ramiah
President 79 46
The

RFF NO C 28/46 47
EVCL Two only

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
T NARASIPUR MUNICIPALITY
T NARASIPUR

Dat 2 10 9 1946

 T_0

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER


MYSORE DISTRICT

M Y S O R F

Sen,

[illegible]

President
Municipal Council
T Narasimha



T Narasapur minor municipal Council ordinary meeting for the month
 of August 1946 Held on 30 8 1946
 Present Ex Officio Absent
 2

Non Official Councillors

6
8

Resolution 7 III T సరఫరా కమిటీకి
 క్రింది నిబంధనలకు అనుగుణంగా
 ఇంజనీరింగ్ విభాగం ద్వారా
 వీరికి అనుగుణంగా కట్టబడిన 90 అక్షరాల
 తీర్మానమును 13 8 46 నకు
 B3-C 3667 /43 నకు నిమిత్తముగా

తరఫునకు పంపింపబడినది
 సమీక్షించిన తరువాత ఇంజనీరింగ్ విభాగం
 ద్వారా పంపింపబడినది ఆమోదించినది
 ద్వారా పంపింపబడినది తరఫునకు
 పంపింపబడినది నిమిత్తముగా
 కట్టబడినది

(Sd) S Sreenivasulu

President 30 8 46

Extract

J. J. Narasapur
 President
 Municipal Council
 T. Narasapur.

Statement showing the Financial position of T Narasimhan minor
for the year 1946-47 as per Sanctioned Budget Estimates

(1) Opening Balance	Rs 13898
(2) Receipts	15009
(3) Total	28907
(4) Expenditure	17288
(5) Closing Balance	11619

Investment in Government Savings Bank Rs 16677 19 only

J. J. J. J.
President
Municipal Council
T. Narasimhan

L 832

To The Dy Commr, Mysore Dt
12/7/47

Sr

I am directed to make reference
to your office letter no D3 C 3767/43-44 of
28-1-47 in the matter of the appointment of
an Inspector for the administration of the
minor villages of the T Narasimha Taluk,
and to request you to furnish your
considered opinion in the matter at an
early date

I have etc

for Secy to Govt
Mr. Dev Dept


12/7/47

no D3, C 3767/43-44


ml 2-47-24
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Mysore, dated the 16th July 1947

FROM

G N NAGARAJA RAO, Esq , M Sc
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District



ml
mr
21/7/47



TO
SYED ABDUL ALEEM, Esq , B A
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, Bangalore


Sub appointment of an inspector for the administration of the minor Municipalities in the
1 Narasipur taluk

with reference to your letter No D3 L 832 dated 12th July 1947 calling for my considered opinion on the above subject, I have the honour to state that I inspected these Municipalities and examined the state of administration and their financial position. The financial position of Bannur Municipality is not at present satisfactory. The introduction of tolls, and enhancement of taxes which have been suggested may improve the position in future. The position in other 3 Municipalities is sound but counting the size and population of each and the distance to travel from one place to the other and the varied income of these Municipalities, I doubt whether it would be feasible from the administrative point of view to appoint an Inspector as proposed.

Since the proposal has been recommended by my predecessor already (vide letter No D3 C 3767/43-44 dated 25-9-44) I think the proposal may be given a trial for one year. The details of establishment cost have been fur-

furnished in my letter no D3 C 3767/43-44 dated
24-1-1947

have the honour to be
sir,
Your most obedient servant.


DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
FYRE DISTRICT

No L2843 /m/

27/20/81
To Mr C 2747-245
The D C Inspector Det

Sir,

Subject - Appointment of
an Inspector for the
administration of the
Mipor mto in the T Nara
cinn Tahne

With refer to the corres-
pondence ending with your
letter No D3 C 3767/42-44
dated 16.7.47 furnishing
you opinion on the above
subject I am directed to
state that ~~the~~ ^{the} considers it
advisable ~~to withdraw~~
~~such an appointment~~
~~contemplated in your letter~~
~~under refer~~ and therefore
~~direct~~ the proposal may
be over

The appointment of
an Inspector as
proposed would
be of any utility
always
The school that

I have etc,
from
The Rev Secy